Medial Closing-Wedge Distal Femoral Osteotomy: Fixation With Proximal Tibial Locking Plate

Luís Eduardo Passarelli Tírico, M.D., Marco Kawamura Demange, M.D., Marcelo Batista Bonadio, M.D., Camilo Partezani Helito, M.D., Riccardo Gomes Gobbi, M.D., and José Ricardo Pécora, M.D.

Abstract: Distal femoral varus osteotomy is a well-established procedure for the treatment of lateral compartment cartilage lesions and degenerative disease, correcting limb alignment and decreasing the progression of the pathology. Surgical techniques can be performed with a lateral opening-wedge or medial closing-wedge correction of the deformity. Fixation methods for lateral opening-wedge osteotomies are widely available, and there are various types of implants that can be used for fixation. However, there are currently only a few options of implants for fixation of a medial closing-wedge osteotomy on the market. This report describes a medial, supracondylar, V-shaped, closing-wedge distal femoral osteotomy using a locked anterolateral proximal tibial locking plate that fits anatomically to the medial side of the distal femur. This is a great option as a stable implant for a medial closing-wedge distal femoral osteotomy.

Osteotomies around the knee were commonly used for the treatment of unicompartmental arthritis in the early 1970s and 1980s; however, as arthroplasties became popular and showed good long-term results, the number of osteotomies for the treatment of knee arthritis decreased over the next few decades in the United States. They are still frequently performed in Europe, Asia, and South America.

Distal femoral varus osteotomy (DFVO) is a well-established procedure for the treatment of lateral compartment cartilage lesions and degenerative disease. Its objective is to change the mechanical alignment to neutral in cartilage repair procedures or to overcorrect the axis in cases of degenerative lateral compartment osteoarthritis.

Table 1. Indications and Contraindications of Distal Femoral Varus Osteotomy

Indications
- Cartilage lesions
  - Femoral and/or tibial lateral compartment cartilage lesions of knee
  - Femoral and/or tibial localized degenerative disease
  - Femorotibial lateral compartment osteoarthritis
- Intact medial compartment cartilage and medial meniscus
- Age < 60 yr
- Desire to stay active (perform exercises) or play sports

Contraindications
- Absolute
  - Tricompartmental osteoarthritis
  - Patellofemoral pain
  - Osteoarthritis or meniscal deficiency in compartment intended for weight bearing
- Relative
  - Smoking
  - Knee range of motion < 90°
osteotomy. One of the options is to use a medial distal femoral locking plate to secure the desired correction, but these systems are not often commercially available for the medial side of the femur. We describe the use of an anterolateral proximal tibial locking plate for fixation of a supracondylar, V-shaped, medial DFVO (Video 1).

**Surgical Technique**

Medial closing-wedge distal femoral osteotomy is recommended for patients with valgus malalignment meeting the following criteria: cartilage lesions (femoral and/or tibial lateral compartment cartilage lesions of the knee, femoral and/or tibial localized degenerative disease, or femorotibial lateral compartment osteoarthritis); intact medial compartment cartilage and medial meniscus; age younger than 60 years; and desire to stay active (perform exercises) or play sports (Table 1). Absolute contraindications to distal femoral osteotomy

---

**Fig 1.** (A) Anteroposterior and (B) lateral views of Stryker 3.5-mm AxSOS proximal tibial locking plate. (C) Synthes anterolateral 4.5-mm LCP proximal tibial locking plate.

**Fig 2.** Medial view of the distal shaft of the femur and medial part of the knee. A 2.0-mm K-wire is being passed from medial to lateral on the medial epicondyle, parallel to the joint line.

**Fig 3.** Fluoroscopic view of 2.0-mm K-wire from medial to lateral, parallel to joint line.
are tricompartmental osteoarthritis, patellofemoral pain, and osteoarthritis or meniscal deficiency in the compartment intended for weight bearing. Relative contraindications are smoking and knee range of motion less than 90°.

The study was approved by the hospital scientific committee, and all patients consented to participate this study. The type of implant used in this study was an anterolateral tibial plateau locking plate. The plates were obtained from 2 different manufacturers that supply implants to our hospital: 3.5-mm AxSOS proximal tibial locking plate (Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI) and 3.5- or 4.5-mm LCP proximal tibial locking plate (Synthes, West Chester, PA) (Fig 1). Right tibial implants were used in the right distal femur, and left tibial implants were used in the left distal femur.

Supracondylar V-shaped DFVO is performed as described by Aglietti et al. in their original article. The patient is placed in the supine position, and a tourniquet is usually used. A medial-side distal femoral approach is used for this technique with the knee flexed to 60°. A 15-cm skin incision is made starting 2 cm distal to the medial femoral epicondyle and extending 13 cm proximal on the medial side. The fascia is identified and is sectioned at the border of the vastus medialis muscle by the subvastus approach. The muscle is retracted anteriorly and laterally with a Hohmann retractor, and the periosteum is cut in the distal femoral shaft.

A 2.0-mm Kirschner wire (Stryker) is passed from medial to lateral on the medial epicondyle, parallel to the articular joint line, to guide the direction of the cut with a saw blade (Figs 2 and 3). A Hohmann retractor is positioned on the posterior part of the femur to protect the neurovascular structures.

A chevron-type osteotomy is performed from medial to lateral, with the anterior arm having twice the length of the posterior arm (Fig 4). A saw blade is used for the anterior part of the osteotomy, and an osteotome is used for the posterior part to decrease the risk of injury to the neurovascular structures. The bone cut must be parallel to the K-wire and the articular joint line (Fig 5). Injury to the lateral femoral cortex can compromise sagittal stability. If this occurs, it must be addressed with the use of a small plate and screws or a staple.

An additional resection of 1 to 5 mm of the proximal part of the femur is performed with a saw blade to overlap the proximal part of the femur into the metaphysis of the distal part until the desired correction is achieved (Figs 6 and 7). Such impaction promotes bone apposition and rotational control of the osteotomy, improving stability (Fig 8).

The plate can be bent in the middle to achieve anatomic correction of angulation (Fig 9). When the desired correction is achieved, the osteotomy is secured with a 3.5- or 4.5-mm anterolateral proximal tibial locking plate (3.5-mm AxSOS or 3.5- or 4.5-mm LCP) (Figs 10 and 11). Fluoroscopic imaging is used intraoperatively to evaluate the mechanical axis and ensure that it is appropriate (Fig 12).

Fig 4. Medial approach to distal femur, showing Hohmann retractors positioned anterior and posterior to femur. The site of osteotomy is marked on the bone, with the anterior arm having twice the length of the posterior arm and with an angulation of 90° between these arms.

Fig 5. (A) Incorrect direction of femoral saw blade cut for medial-side distal femoral varus osteotomy, oblique to K-wire (marked with a red X, meaning “wrong”). (B) Correct direction of saw blade for femoral cut, parallel to K-wire (marked with a green C, meaning “correct”).
Fig 6. Additional resection of 1 to 5 mm of the proximal part of the femur is performed using a saw blade to overlap the proximal part of the femur into the metaphysis of the distal part until the desired correction is achieved. The arrow indicates the amount of bone resected.

Fig 7. Removal of small wedge of bone from posterior part of osteotomy (green arrow). One should note the space left after removal of the wedge of bone at the anterior arm of the cut (yellow arrow).

Fig 8. (A) Medial closing-wedge osteotomy with resection of 5 mm of proximal part of femur. (B) Overlap of proximal part of femur into metaphysis of distal part, correcting the deformity.

Fig 9. Bending of plate to achieve desired correction. Bending must be performed at the level of the osteotomy.

Fig 10. Position of 4.5-mm anterolateral tibial locking plate (4.5-mm LCP proximal tibial locking plate). Fixation is achieved with two 1.0-mm K-wires and 2 locked screws in the distal part of the femoral osteotomy.
Three locked screws are used to secure the plate to the distal part of the femur, and 2 locked screws are placed in the proximal part (Table 2). Additional oblique screws can be used if additional stability is desired (Figs 13 and 14, Video 1).

A drain is then inserted, and the wound is closed in layers. A compressive dressing is applied, and the leg is placed in a hinged knee brace with toe-touch weight bearing for 2 weeks and partial weight bearing until 6 weeks after the procedure.

**Discussion**

Femoral osteotomies work well for realignment of the limb with a valgus deformity. The degree of correction may vary depending on the progression of disease at the time of treatment.

When a DFVO is combined with a cartilage repair procedure, the aim of the correction is neutral alignment of the limb. If there is mild degenerative disease in the compartment that is being treated, the mechanical axis should be a few degrees beyond neutral alignment, with overcorrection to the tibial eminence opposite the defect. If we are treating a patient with advanced degenerative disease, we may correct to neutral or overcorrect the axis to unload the degenerated compartment (Table 3).

Lateral opening-wedge DFVO is a popular procedure with very consistent results; however, it has the disadvantage that it requires more time for the bone to heal and often requires bone grafting to fill the gap at the osteotomy site. Moreover, because the plate is seated under the iliotibial band, it generally leads to irritation of the iliotibial band, making removal of the plate almost always necessary after bone consolidation.

Medial closing-wedge DFVO is also a very well-established procedure for the treatment of the valgus knee; however, fixation on the medial side might be a problem if the surgeon does not want to take the anatomic axis to neutral using an AO 90° blade plate. Many authors who perform medial closing-wedge DFVO use a 90° blade plate for fixation of the osteotomy. There is a problem when the surgeon uses a 90° plate in cartilage repair procedures and wants to correct the mechanical axis to physiological neutral alignment (i.e. bringing the mechanical axis, but not anatomic axis, to 0°). In this scenario, it is extremely difficult to achieve the desired correction using the 90° blade plate.
Table 2. Pearls, Pitfalls, and Complications of Medial Closing-Wedge Distal Femoral Osteotomy

**Pearls and pitfalls**

The surgeon should try to obtain good exposure of the medial part of the distal femur from 2 cm distal to 10 to 15 cm proximal to the medial epicondyle.

A 2.0-mm K-wire is drilled from medial to lateral on the medial epicondyle, parallel to the articular joint line. The saw blade should be parallel to the K-wire. The surgeon should not cut the bone with the saw blade perpendicular to the distal femur (oblique with the K-wire). Doing so will lead the saw blade into the intercondylar notch, and a fracture of the condyle may occur. The anterior arm of the osteotomy has double the length of the posterior arm.

Violation of the lateral cortex of the femur requires an additional fixation on the lateral side. The surgeon should remove 1 to 5 mm of bone from the proximal part of the femur. This will allow the distal part of the femur to overlap the proximal part until the desired correction is achieved. The surgeon should make sure that this small wedge of bone is removed all the way anteriorly and posteriorly; otherwise, it might break the lateral cortex when reducing the valgus deformity.

Usually, 3 locked screws distally and 2 locked screws proximally are sufficient for securing the locking plate to the distal femur, maintaining angular correction and stability. The most posterior hole in the distal part of the locking plate remains without a screw so as not to violate the intercondylar notch. A short screw can be used to increase stability if desired.

**Complications**

- Neurovascular injury
- Nonunion (violation of lateral cortex)
- Fracture of condyle
- Deep infection
- Failure to properly correct alignment
- Hematoma
- Compartment syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Aim of Alignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focal cartilage lesion treated</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild degenerative disease or cartilage lesion partially treated</td>
<td>Overcorrect to opposite tibial eminence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>Overcorrect to opposite compartment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 13. (A) Anteroposterior radiograph showing 3.5-mm plate fixation with lock and cancellous screws. (B) Lateral radiograph showing positioning of plate anterior to Blumensaat line and proximal to trochlea. (C) Axial radiograph showing perfect anatomic position of plate in medial part of distal femur.

Table 3. Desired Correction With Distal Femoral Osteotomy for Malalignment in Cartilage Lesions
Fig 14. (A) Long-leg anteroposterior radiograph showing preoperative valgus deformity of the right knee in a patient with a lateral femoral condyle osteochondral lesion. (B) Postoperative long-leg radiograph with an anterolateral proximal tibial locking plate on the medial side of the distal femur, showing correction of the mechanical axis of the limb to neutral alignment.
Locking plates are widely used for intra-articular fractures, tibial osteotomies, and lateral femoral osteotomies. Locking plates have the advantage of increasing stability and reducing the period of partial weight bearing during recovery.4 Brinkman et al.23 compared axial and torsional stability using 5 different plates and osteotomy configurations in lateral and medial DFVO and concluded that lateral opening-wedge techniques were less stable and less stiff than medial techniques. Locking plates are often used in lateral and medial DFVO; however, there are only a few implants designed to be used on the medial side of the distal femur, and they are not always available in many countries. The only locking plate design for the medial distal femur is the Synthes TomoFix medial distal femoral plate, which is currently unavailable in Brazil and in many other countries.

An anterolateral proximal tibial locking plate fits perfectly into the medial cortex of the distal femur, making it a good option for fixation by medial closing-wedge DFVO. It has the advantage of allowing gradual corrections of the mechanical axis of the limb by bending the plate. This facilitates the surgical procedure and better achieves the planned correction (Fig 7). After the desired correction is achieved, the surgeon can perform temporary fixation with K-wires and then lock the plate with screws. The disadvantages of medial-side osteotomy are the proximity to the popliteal vessels, the fact that it is technically more demanding, and the lack of implant choices available on the market (Table 4).

We conclude that the combination of V-shaped medial closing-wedge DFVO and a locking plate enhances the stability of the osteotomy and permits a shorter period of partial weight bearing and faster recovery, making it an excellent option for the treatment of a valgus knee. Anterolateral proximal tibial locking plates are an excellent choice of fixation for medial osteotomies because they fit anatomically into the medial side of the distal femur.

Table 4. Comparison of Advantages and Disadvantages of Lateral Opening-Wedge and Medial Closing-Wedge Osteotomies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Femoral Varus Osteotomy</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral opening wedge</td>
<td>Potentially simpler</td>
<td>Irritation of iliotibial band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Several types of fixation</td>
<td>Longer time to heal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avoidance of neurovascular bundle</td>
<td>Need for bone graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial closing wedge</td>
<td>More aggressive weight bearing</td>
<td>Technically more demanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No need for bone graft</td>
<td>Proximity to popliteal vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of implants available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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