D-Cycloserine Improves Functional Outcome after Traumatic Brain Injury with Wide Therapeutic Window
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Abstract

It has been long thought that hyper-activation of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors underlies neurological decline after traumatic brain injury. However, all clinical trials with NMDA receptor antagonists failed. Since NMDA receptors are down-regulated from 4h to 2 weeks after brain injury, activation at 24h, rather than inhibition, of these receptors, was previously shown to be beneficial in mice. Here, we tested the therapeutic window, dose regimen and mechanism of action of the NMDA receptor partial agonist D-cycloserine (DCS) in traumatic brain injury. Male mice were subjected to trauma using a weight-drop model, and administered 10 mg/kg (i.p) DCS or vehicle once (8, 16, 24, or 72h) twice (24 and 48h) or three times (24, 48 and 72h). Functional recovery was assessed for up to 60 days, using a Neurological Severity Score that measures neurobehavioral parameters. In all groups in which treatment was begun at 24 or 72h neurobehavioral function was significantly better than in the vehicle-treated groups. Additional doses, on days 2 and 3 did not further improve recovery. Mice treated at 8h or 16h post injury did not differ from the vehicle-treated controls. Co-administration of the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801 completely blocked the protective effect of DCS given at 24h. Infarct volume measured by 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride staining at 48h or by cresyl violet at 28d was not affected by DCS treatment. Since DCS is used clinically for other indications, the present study offers a novel approach for treating human traumatic brain injury with a therapeutic window of at least 24h.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the past few decades, hyperactivation of the NMDA receptors by extracellular excitatory amino acids such as glutamate had been implicated in the cellular events leading to neuronal death and decline in function following traumatic or ischemic brain injury (e.g. Faden et al., 1989; Hayes et al., 1988). Acute increases in extracellular glutamate, detected in both experimental brain trauma models and in human patients, may lead to over-stimulation of glutamate receptors, culminating in neuronal damage (Alessandri et al., 1996; Baker et al., 1993; Brown et al., 1998; Lynch and Dawson 1994, Palmer et al., 1993, Panter and Faden 1992). Agents modulating glutamate transmission were developed and numerous experimental treatments for neurological diseases were based on NMDA receptor antagonists (Tolias et al., 2004, Beauchamp et al., 2008, Kalia et al., 2008), that could theoretically ameliorate the harmful effects of excessive glutamate. However, data obtained from various brain trauma and ischemia models, retinal exposure to glutamate and endotoxin (LPS)-induced neuroinflammation, showed a loss of NMDA receptors, evident a few hours after injury and lasting for 24h or more (Biegon et al., 2004, Biegon et al., 2002, Friedman et al., 2001, Grossman et al., 2003, Miller et al., 1991, Sihver et al., 2001). Loss of NMDA receptors should lead to hypo-activation of these receptors. Indeed, using a mouse model of closed head injury we showed that hyperactivation of the NMDA receptors is short-lived (< 1h) and is followed by profound and long-lasting hypofunction (>7days). Moreover, stimulation of the native receptor using the full agonist NMDA at 24h post injury was actually neuroprotective (Biegon et al., 2004) and so was DCS, the partial agonist of the NMDA receptor-associated glycine site (Temple and Hamm, 1996).

Activity-dependent synaptic processes that modify the strength of hippocampal glutamatergic synapses are known as long-term potentiation (LTP) and are critical to spatial learning and memory. The hippocampus regulates the storage of information and requires activation of the NMDA receptors (Malenka and Nicoll, 1999). We previously tested DCS in the closed head injury model and found that in addition to improved functional recovery, a single dose of DCS 24h after injury significantly restored hippocampal LTP in the CA1 region that was blunted in vehicle-treated mice. In addition, DCS restored the level of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), a critical regulator of LTP, which is reduced after closed head injury (Yaka et al., 2007).

The drug DCS, a well characterized chemical agent established in clinical use as an antimicrobial drug (Heifets 1994) in some infectious diseases, is also used as a “cognitive enhancer” in several chronic neurological disorders, including Alzheimer's disease and schizophrenia (Duncan et al. 2004; Heresco-Levy et al., 2002; Laake et al., 2002). Therefore, if found to be beneficial in experimental traumatic brain injury, DCS could readily be translated from the laboratory to the bedside.

The present study was designed to determine: 1) the extent to which early or late administration of DCS could be beneficial following trauma, thus defining its therapeutic window, 2) the possible benefits of multiple doses of DCS following traumatic brain injury, 3) the effect of treatment on early and late lesion volume, and finally 4) the role of the interaction of DCS with the NMDA receptors.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Animals and drugs

The study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee of The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Male Sabra mice, 8–12 weeks old, Hebrew University strain used for the study were kept under controlled temperature and light conditions with food and water available
continuously. D-cycloserine, DCS, (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and MK801 (Sigma) were dissolved in saline and doses of 10mg/kg and 1mg/kg, respectively, were given intraperitoneally, (i.p.). The DCS dose was selected based on a dose response study (5,10,30 mg/kg) performed before our previous study (Yaka et al. 2007). Control animals received an identical volume of vehicle (saline).

2.2 Closed head injury model
Experimental closed head injury was induced using a weight-drop device as previously described (Biegon et al., 2004). Briefly, after induction of isoflurane anesthesia, a midline longitudinal scalp incision was made, the skin was retracted and the skull exposed. The left anterior frontal area was identified and a Teflon tipped cone (2mm diameter) was placed 1mm lateral to the midline in the mid-coronal plane. The head was held in place manually and a 75g weight was dropped from a height of 18cm, resulting in a focal injury to the left hemisphere. After trauma, the mice received supporting oxygenation with 95% oxygen for up to 2 min and were returned to their cages.

2.3 Experimental groups
DCS or vehicle was administered to different groups of animals at various time periods post closed head injury. The first set of experiments was designed to determine whether a single administration of DCS at time points earlier or later than 24h would also exert beneficial effect. Thus, four groups of mice were treated with a single dose given at 8 h (n=7) or at 16 h (n=8) for the early time points and at 24 h or 72 h (n=8) for the later post closed head injury time. The second set of experiments was designed to explore the question of multiple dosing. Two groups of mice were treated either twice (n=10), at 24 and 48 h, or three times (n=11), at 24, 48 and 72 h. Control groups received the vehicle (n=14) at the same time points as the drug. The severity of injury was assessed 1h after closed head injury and the neurobehavioral recovery of the mice was followed for 63 or 21 days in the different groups. To investigate the effect of DCS on infarct volume, two groups of mice (n=5/group) treated with vehicle or DCS at 24h post closed head injury were sacrificed 24h later, that is, 48h after injury, and infarct volume was assessed by staining with 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC). To investigate the late effect of DCS treatment on lesion volume, vehicle- and DCS-treated mice were sacrificed at 28 days post injury and brain sections were stained with cresyl violet. To determine whether activation of the NMDA receptor is involved in mediating the neuroprotective effect of DCS, the NMDA antagonist MK-801 was injected (1 mg/kg, i.p) 24 h after injury, alone or along with DCS. Thus, four groups of mice (n=10–12/group) were subjected to a similar severity of injury and 24h later saline (vehicle control), DCS, MK-801 or DCS+MK801 were injected. The functional recovery of these mice was evaluated for up to 28 days.

2.4 Neurobehavioral evaluation
The Neurological Severity Score (NSS; Table 1) assesses the neurobehavioral status of mice based on the presence of some reflexes and the ability to perform various motor tasks, including beam balance, beam walking and spontaneous locomotion (Beni Adani et al., 2001). Scores range from zero in healthy uninjured animals to a maximum of 10, indicating severe neurological dysfunction, with failure in all tasks. The NSS obtained 1h post trauma reflects the initial severity of the injury and is inversely correlated with the neurological outcome (Tsenter et al., 2008). To ensure similar initial severity values in the different groups after closed head injury, mice with similar initial scores were assigned to the experimental (n>8 mice) and the control arms (n>8 mice) of the study. All the groups were evaluated 1h after closed head injury and for up to 63 days. Blinded evaluation of the animals was carried out throughout the experimental periods. The extent of recovery (ΔNSS) was calculated as the
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difference between the NSS at 1h post closed head injury and at any subsequent time point. Thus, a positive DNSS reflects recovery, 0 reflects no change, and a negative DNSS reflects neurological deterioration.

2.5 Evaluation of infarct volume

At 48h after trauma and 24h after treatment with vehicle or DCS, the mice were sacrificed and their brains were sectioned (2 mm thickness) using a brain mold. The slices were placed in 24-well tissue culture plate containing 2% 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) in phosphate-buffered-saline (PBS) and incubated for 1h at 37°C, allowing the TTC to stain vital tissue. Then, 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS was added to each well and incubated overnight. PFA was removed by three PBS washes. Sections were visualized and photographed using a Stemi SV11 Stereoscope (Zeiss, Jena Germany) equipped with a digital photo-camera (Coolpix 4500, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Lesion volume was calculated as the unstained (white) area relative to the uninjured contra-lateral hemisphere area, so as to neutralize the effect of edema formation on the injured hemisphere volume. Calculations were made using commercial ImageJ 1.40 software and relative lesion areas from all brain sections were grouped to provide lesion volume, representing the percentage of dead tissue within the injured hemisphere.

2.6 Evaluation of long-term tissue loss

Brains of animals killed 28 days after trauma were frozen and cryosectioned in the coronal plane (10 micron thickness, cutting temperature −15°C) from the olfactory tubercule to the cerebellum. Sections were collected every 100 microns, thaw-mounted onto coated slides and stained with 0.5% cresyl violet. The area of the hemispheres ipsilateral and contralateral to the trauma was measured using ImageJ software and the percent difference between the two was calculated to estimate long-term tissue loss.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. A Microsoft Excel package was used to determine statistical significance. At each of the post injury days on which NSS was evaluated, significance was determined using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test for the ΔNSS values on that day. Student’s t-test was used for comparing infarct volume. The effect of DCS on mean hemisphere areas on the traumatized and contralateral side was analyzed by 2 way ANOVA (treatment × side) and percent tissue loss was compared using Student’s t-test.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Effect of time of administration of DCS on functional recovery

The earlier findings of faster and better recovery of motor and memory functions after a single administration of DCS at 24h (Yaka et al 2007) prompted us to examine the therapeutic window for drug administration. Therefore, four groups of mice were treated with DCS at 8 or 16h post injury – for the earlier time points, and 24 or 72h for the later periods. Control mice were treated with vehicle at the same time points. In contrast to the beneficial effects previously observed after treatment at 24h, administration of DCS at 8 or 16h post trauma did not improve the neurological outcome compared with that of the control, vehicle-treated animals (Fig. 1A). However, when given at 24 or 72h post injury, a single dose of DCS facilitated neurological recovery from day 7 as compared with their respective controls (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, even when given as late as 72h post injury DCS exerted a significant effect (P<0.05 vs saline-treated mice) on days 7–21 post injury, similar to that obtained after treatment at 24h.
3.2 Effect of multiple doses of DCS on functional recovery

We next investigated whether DCS administration of two doses at 24 and 48h (DCS-2, Fig 2) or three doses (24, 48 and 72h, DCS-3 Fig 2) post closed head injury would confer greater protection than a single dose at 24h. All DCS-treated mice, whether treated 2 or 3 times, displayed similar enhanced functional recovery compared with the vehicle-treated controls. This beneficial effect, already noticed 2 days post trauma, was significant for both groups from day 7–35 (P<0.05) and even more significant thereafter, and sustained for up to 63 days. There was no difference between the double and triple dose regimens in the degree of functional recovery, and it was comparable with that reported earlier (Yaka et al., 2007).

3.3 Effect of DCS on infarct volume and long term tissue loss

The hemispheric lesion volume (indicated by arrows), estimated by TTC staining 48h after injury (24h after DCS administration) as 15.5 ± 1.5 and 17.5 ± 2.0% in the saline- and DCS-treated mice, respectively, is shown in Fig. 3. The values were not significantly different, indicating that the drug did not reduce infarct volume. In animals treated with vehicle and killed 28 days later, the contused hemisphere area was 77.7 ± 2.7% that of the contralateral hemisphere at the coronal plane representing the focus of the trauma (i.e. ~22% loss of tissue). DCS treatment had no effect on this parameter (77.2 ± 2.2%, p=0.9, n.s.), as seen in Fig. 4.

3.4 Reversal of the effect of DCS on functional recovery by MK-801

In the next set of experiments we addressed the question whether the effect of DCS is indeed mediated via stimulation of the NMDA receptors. We assumed that if that was the case, the beneficial effect of DCS should be abolished by an antagonist given at the same time. We chose the functional antagonist MK-801. Fig. 5 shows that the beneficial effect of DCS alone from day 7 to 21 post injury was significantly abolished, when MK-801 was given at the same time (P<0.05), with a tendency towards an even worse outcome. When given 24h after injury, MK-801 alone not only inhibited the spontaneous recovery of the mice but even caused further deterioration of their neurological status.

4. DISCUSSION

One of the features of NMDA receptor function is the requirement for more than one agonist to activate the receptor and open its channel (Danysz and Parsons, 1998). Binding of a co-agonist to its specific binding site is an obligatory step for receptor activity, and it has been found that this site exerts a regulatory role. It has been suggested that D-serine acts as an endogenous co-agonist, alongside with glycine (for review, see Wolosker 2007). We selected DCS as a novel candidate for traumatic brain injury treatment because it has been shown to improve cognitive function in various animal models (Temple and Hamm, 1996; Andersen et al., 2002; Schneider et al., 2000). Moreover, DCS has a good safety profile, and it is already used in humans for several different indications (Duncan et al., 2004; Laake et al., 2002, Nitsche et al., 2004). These properties could significantly facilitate the clinical application of DCS in traumatic brain injury patients.

The results of the present study corroborate our recent report (Yaka et al., 2007) on the benefit afforded by the NMDA receptor co-agonist DCS after brain trauma. After previously showing that a single administration of DCS at 24 h post injury restores motor function as well as LTP even two weeks after drug administration, we now examined the therapeutic window and dose regimen for this drug. We show that prolonged, multiple-injection paradigms are not necessary to elicit the benefit of DCS treatment in closed head injury, and it appears that even a short treatment course (a single injection) given one or three days after injury is sufficient to provide a long-term functional benefit. Moreover, when treatment was begun at earlier time points, i.e. 8 or 16h post injury, DCS was not effective in ameliorating the neurobehavioral deficits. Our
results agree on the whole with those of Temple and Hamm (1996), who found that DCS significantly attenuated memory deficits in injured rats. However, the studies differ in the species used, dose and treatment protocol. Temple and Hamm treated injured rats once daily on days 1–15 and found that only a dose of 30mg/kg, but not 10mg/kg, was effective, whereas we found that the 10mg/kg dose, given to mice as a single injection, yielded a significant effect (preliminary, unpublished data, Yaka et al., 2007 and present study). This difference may be due to the different animals used in the studies (rats vs mice), and to the different design of the study, as Temple and Hamm did not resort to the single administration regimen. 

Traumatic brain injury, the leading cause of mortality and disability among young people in the Western world (see, Thurman et al., 1999, Myburgh et al., 2008, Koskinen et al., 2008) triggers a large, transient increase in excitatory amino acid efflux (especially glutamate) in the brain of experimental animals and in human subjects (Bullock et al., 1998, Palmer et al., 1993). When glutamate, with glycine as cofactor, binds at the extracellular site of the NMDA receptors, the channel is opened, and allows a massive calcium influx. In turn, the increased intracellular calcium concentration initiates several excitotoxic and inflammatory cascades, culminating in neuronal death. Thus, many treatment paradigms in laboratory animals targeted this NMDA receptor hyperactivation and showed that competitive or noncompetitive inhibitors of the receptor improved the outcome in animal models of traumatic brain injury and stroke. The positive results from animal models led to the development and clinical testing of several NMDA receptor antagonists in traumatic brain injury and stroke (Lees 1997). Unfortunately, all of the NMDA receptor antagonists studied to date (Selfotel, Cerestat, D-CPPene, CP-101) have not proved efficient in large controlled clinical trials (Fisher 1998., Maas et al.1999, Narayan et al, 2002). Furthermore, some of these trials had to be discontinued prematurely because of increases in mortality and morbidity in the drug arm of the trials. Importantly, in laboratory animals, NMDA receptor antagonists appeared to be beneficial only when administered prior to the trauma or within a short therapeutic window post injury, such that treatment initiation more than 30–60 minutes after injury was no longer protective (e.g. Chen et al, 1991, Panter and Faden 1992, Di and Bullock 1996, Kroppenstedt et al, 1998, Rod and Auer 1989, Shapira et al, 1990).

In contrast to the early hyperactivation, the prolonged loss of NMDA receptor function provides a wide therapeutic window for the treatment of neurobehavioral deficits after head injury. As we show here, a single injection given as late as 72 h post traumatic brain injury, improves neurobehavioral function during a 1–2 month follow-up. We previously raised the possibility that closed head injury could affect the number of NMDA receptors, their function, or both, in a region-specific manner, and showed alterations in the expression and synaptic distribution of NMDA receptor subunits as early as 15 min following closed head injury (Schumann et al., 2008). The duration of these alterations is now under investigation. We also suggested that tyrosine phosphorylation of NR2B may contribute to these alterations in NMDA receptor function or signaling pathways in the posttraumatic brain and may be related to pathogenic events leading to neurological deficits (Schumann et al., 2008). It appears that at the late time points (24–72h), when the NMDA receptors are down-regulated, their modulation by the co-agonist DCS may prime them and “reboot” the glutamate neurotransmission system to its physiological level. It is not clear whether this effect is associated with restoration of the subunit composition. The finding that DCS was ineffectual when given at 8 or 16h may suggest that only after a certain degree of hypo-activation or alteration of the subunit population has been reached in the injured brain, is such an effect possible.

There is some evidence that DCS has a bell-shaped dose response curve and may have opposite effects on NMDA receptor channel opening at low or high doses (Andersen et al., 2002, Emmet et al., 1991). To substantiate the mechanism by which DCS exerts its effect, the NMDA receptor open-channel antagonist MK-801 was injected 24 h after injury, alone or with DCS. As
previously shown (Biegon et al., 2004), functional recovery after treatment with MK-801 alone was slower than in the vehicle-control mice, indicating that blockade of the physiological glutamate activity at the NMDA receptors at a time point when the receptor is already down-regulated is harmful rather than beneficial. Moreover, MK-801, when given together with DCS, abolished the latter's beneficial effect, even though it binds to distinct sites of the NMDA receptor complex. These results show that the effect of DCS is indeed due to receptor activation, and agree with those of Barth and his colleagues (Barth et al., 1990, Hoane et al., 2000), who administered MK801 to brain-lesioned animals a few days after rather than shortly after injury, and reported exacerbation and prolongation of the neurological deficits. The lack of DCS effect on early infarction and tissue loss further supports the notion that the beneficial effects of the treatment are related to recovery of activity at the glutamatergic/NMDA receptor synapse, possibly related to increased BDNF (Yaka et al., 2007) rather then prevention of early neuronal death. This phenomenon (i.e. functional improvement unrelated to reduction in infarct size) has also been reported by others in animal models and human studies of traumatic brain injury and stroke (Ji et al., 2009, Mark et al., 2008, Belayev et al., 2007, Kokiko et al., 2006).

In conclusion, the current lack of specific therapy for traumatic brain injury, the need for developing new therapeutics for this indication, along with the good safety profile of DCS and its wide use in humans, contribute to the importance and clinical relevance of our present study. The present findings, demonstrating accelerated functional recovery by activation of the hypo-activated NMDA receptor ion channels in a clinically-relevant model of head injury could significantly expedite the clinical application of DCS in human head trauma patients.
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Figure 1.
Effect of DCS dose on functional recovery after head injury. The Neurological Severity Score (NSS) tests reflexes, alertness, coordination and motor abilities. The extent of recovery is calculated as the difference between NSS at 1h and that at any other time: \(\Delta \text{NSS}(t) = \text{NSS}(1\text{h}) - \text{NSS}(t)\). A. DCS was given at 8 or 16h. B. DCS was given 24 or 72h after injury. Vehicle was given at the same intervals. *P<0.05 DCS given at 24h or 72h vs saline, given at the same time (Mann-Whitney non-parametric test)
Figure 2.
The effect of multiple doses of DCS on functional recovery. DCS was given twice (DCS-2), at 24 and 48h, or three times (DCS-3, 24, 48 and 72h) after closed head injury. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01, both treatment groups vs saline (Mann-Whitney non-parametric test).
Figure 3.
The effect of DCS on infarct volume, assessed 24 h after drug administration (48 h after closed head injury). A. Brain section immersed in 2% TTC in PBS and photographed using a Stemi SV11 Stereoscope (Zeiss, Germany) and digital photocamera Coolpix E990 (Nikon, Japan). Black arrow indicates infarcted area. B. Area of hemispheres ipsilateral and contralateral to the trauma was measured and percent hemispheric infarct volume was quantified using the Scion Image-Release Beta 4.0.2 program.
Figure 4.
Histology at impact level 28 days after injury. Cryostat sections were stained with cresyl violet. Note cavitation on left (ipsilateral side) and ventricular enlargement on right (contralateral) side (A). The area of the hemispheres ipsilateral and contralateral to the trauma was measured using Image J software and the percent difference between the two was calculated to estimate long-term tissue loss (B).
Figure 5. MK-801 reversal of the DCS effect on functional recovery. Mice were treated 24h after closed head injury with vehicle, MK-801, DCS or both drugs together. NSS was followed for 3 weeks after injury. *P<0.05 vs DCS+MK-801 group.
Table 1

Neurological Severity Score for head injured mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>NSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of mono- or hemiparesis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to walk on a 3cm wide beam</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to walk on a 2cm wide beam</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to walk on a 1cm wide beam</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to balance on a round stick (0.5 cm wide)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to balance on a 1cm wide beam</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to exit a 30cm diameter circle (for 2 min)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to walk straight</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of seeking behavior</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of startle behavior</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAXIMUM TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One point is awarded for failure to perform a task.

NSS at 1 h in the range of 8–10: severe CHI