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L

ove Hurts,” suggested the BBC’s Panorama programme in October last year. The investigation focused on the rising rates of sexually transmitted infections in the UK and the crisis in access to genitourinary medicine (GUM) clinics.1 It took as its starting point the centrality of sexual health in the 2004 Public Health White Paper for England, Choosing Health.2 The Government is committed to a major sexual health education programme starting in 2006 and major improvements in sexual health services. To monitor progress local health service organisations have been set targets, including one relating to patient access: by 2008, all patients should be offered an appointment within 48 hours of contacting a GUM clinic.

Poor access to sexual health services has been highlighted as one factor contributing to continued increases in sexually transmitted infections,3 and a number of surveys have found access to clinics have worsened over the past decade.4–7 Many clinics changed from walk-in to appointment-based services, and the expansion of demand has far outstripped the capacity. BASHH recently noted that, “over the past 10 years, services have more than doubled capacity through extensive service modernization despite less than 10% increase in resource.”8 Some clinics have re-introduced more walk in services and triage systems to prioritise patients most likely to have an STI; they have also introduced asymptomatic screening clinics.

The BBC programme included results of a national “mystery shopper” survey specially commissioned for the programme. Researchers telephoned all GUM clinics in the UK posing as patients with three scenarios: wanting a routine check, having symptoms of an STI or being a contact of someone with gonorrhoea. A summary of the results is provided in a paper in this issue, from Clarke and colleagues in Leeds.3 They estimated demand for appointments over a one week period, and found that to be able to offer an appointment to all patients within 48 hours, they would need 626 appointment slots each week, three and a half times their capacity of 181 slots. With this level of mismatch between demand and supply, efficiency through modernisation seems unlikely to be sufficient to close the gap. A massive expansion of capacity, and therefore resource, is needed.

Some clinics have responded to the 48 hour target by introducing restrictive booking systems in which patients can only book appointments up to 2 days in advance. Such systems became widespread in primary care after a similar target was introduced there, and have been widely condemned by patients, a fact revealed in a seemingly baffled Mr Blair during the 2005 election campaign.

Almost one in five have introduced restrictive booking according to Panorama. This is just one of a number of unintended consequences of this type of target. Although perhaps understandable as a way of coping with huge demand, we do not support restrictive booking as it creates major difficulties for patients trying to access services.

There is clearly a continued crisis in GUM services in the UK. The government has earmarked money for investment in services, but it is becoming clear that a considerable proportion of this will not reach sexual health as many primary care trusts struggle with deficits and other priorities. But to neglect this area will not just mean patients inconvenienced by long waits. These are infectious conditions, and increased waiting will lead to increased transmission of STI and potentially of HIV infection. Improving access to STI diagnosis and treatment services must be a public health priority at all levels. Funders should be reminded that failure to deliver on this infection control measure will cost the public purse dearly in the long run.
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