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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reproductive age women residing in the NEPR were compared with the analogous Vieques cohort (table 1). No difference was found in their average age. The participation rate was 20% for NEPR and 31% for Vieques. The mean hair (Hg) for the Vieques cohort was 4.4 (0.5–8.9) while that of NEPR was 0.4 (0.3–0.5). Vieques’ cohort also had higher mercury 90th centiles than the NEPR counterpart (8.9 v 1.0) and than those reported for the United States 1.4 (0.9–1.7). Three of the 41 women of reproductive age in Vieques had hair (Hg) higher than 12.0 ppm (101.3, 25.3, and 15.4 ppm). None of the 45 women from NEPR nor the 702 women studied in the USA had such high mercury levels.

The margin of exposure to mercury was unsafe for women of reproductive age in Vieques, 1.3, but was safe for women of reproductive age in NEPR, 1.2. Seafood consumption averaged 4.9 and 2.8 times per week for the Vieques and NEPR cohorts, respectively. Hair mercury concentration correlated positively with weekly consumption of local seafood for Vieques (Spearman correlation 0.47, p = 0.002).

Mercury concentrations did not correlate with age or BMI for either cohort. Occupational, medicinal, cosmetic, and religious practices failed to reveal other possible sources of mercury contamination. Based on hair (Hg), mercury RfD was exceeded by 26.8% of the Vieques and 6.6% of the NEPR cohort.

Mercury is among the 102 violations to effluent water quality parameters committed by the US Navy in the coast of Vieques. No other source of mercury contamination has been identified in that island. The volatility of mercuric sublimate, its incorporation, biomagnification, and persistence in aquatic habitats and Vieques dependence on marine protein, makes human mercury contamination feasible.

Abbreviations: NEPR, north eastern Puerto Rico; RfD, reference dose analysis; MOE, margin of exposure

Table 1: Mercury concentrations, seafood consumption frequency, and exposure assessment for reproductive age women in Vieques and Puerto Rico (2000-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Age (SE)</th>
<th>BMI*</th>
<th>Hg Median</th>
<th>ppm</th>
<th>90th centile</th>
<th>Margin of exposure†</th>
<th>Total *</th>
<th>Local**</th>
<th>Local fish</th>
<th>% Of women exceeding RfD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vieques</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>25.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Puerto Rico</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>29.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA #</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>N/A††</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Arithmetic mean significant (p<0.05); †MOE<10 is unsafe mercury exposure; ‡Average weekly frequency of seafood consumption; ††RfD=0.1 μg/kg per day; * medians significant (p<0.05); ††arithmetic mean significant (p<0.01); ††data not available.
This study may have some limitations. The participation rate was low for both groups. Unfortunately, the general population in Puerto Rico (and its Vieques island) is unaware of fish consumption as a possible route for mercury contamination. Unfamiliarity with hair testing, aesthetic reasons, and unwillingness to complete a questionnaire was mentioned by non-participants. Over representation of people concerned about contamination can always be present. Selection bias in this cohort study could have occurred if disease status (mercury hair concentration) was known at the time of entering the study. As hair mercury determinations were done months after hair sampling, the participants and the researchers were not cognisant of the results.
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